



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,394	03/12/2004	Win-Harn Liu	3313-1131P	6038
2292 7590 10/01/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER DALEY, CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY	
			ART UNIT 2111	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/798,394

Applicant(s)

LIU ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher A. Daley

Art Unit

2111

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 7 and 14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 6, 7, 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1,6,7, and 14 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1,7,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (US7047348), in view of Cypress.

4. As to claim 1, Wu discloses A method of accessing PCI bus data via a debug card, comprising Accessing to data of the PCI bus via a PCI interface of the debug card:

(Figure 2 illustrates a system comprising a PCI Interface 111 of debug card that interfaces with the PCI bus 10, COL. 5, lines 4 – 12);

storing the data in a buffer of the debug card (PCI Register block 20 comprise said function, COL. 5, lines 1-3);

controlling the access to the data stored in the buffer of debug card by means of a data control chip of the debug card (The controller SMB controller 112 performs said function, COL. 4, line 65 – COL. 5, line 3);

Art Unit: 2111

storing the data in a buffer of the data control chip (Figure 2 illustrates said buffer in element , data buffer 113, COL. 5, lines 28 – 32); and
extracting the data stored in the buffer of the data control chip via a host interface of the debug card (Data extraction is enabled by controller, COL. 4, line 65 – COL. 5, line 3);

wherein extracting the data stored in the buffer of the data control chip via a host interface of the debug card further comprising: transferring the data stored in the buffer of the data control chip to the host (Figure 2 illustrates the data flow between the host interface 114, the controller 112, and the data buffer 113, COL. 5, lines 30-35); and
analyzing the data stored in the host (The system analyze the command type, read/write, COL. 3, lines 5-7).

wherein initializing the data control chip further comprising: performing a
synchronization setting of the data control chip and debug card;
setting an operating mode of the data control chip (Status signal performs said function as slave controller engages PCI master, COL. 5, lines 1-3);
selecting a register address in the data control chip and writing an access control code therein (Said function via the SMB interface, COL2., lines 25-30);
setting a data access width of the data control chip (Data command comprises data width, COL. 2, lines 30 – 35); and
clearing the buffer of the debug card (It is well known in the art of clearing the buffer to conserve memory usage).

Wu does not explicitly disclose the step of controlling the access to the data stored in the buffer of debug card comprising: initializing the data control chip; If the data control chip is in the idle status, setting the data control chip, wherein the setting step further comprises:
Setting a data access mode of the data control chip;
Determining a data access situation of the debug card and performing counting;
Setting an amount of data to be accessed each time; and
Ending the idle status; and If the data control chip is not in the idle status, accessing to the PCI bus data stored in the debug card according to the settings of the data control chip.

However, Cypress teaches: the step of controlling the access to the data stored in the buffer of debug card comprising: initializing the data control chip (Figure 2 illustrates the interface between a device and General Programmable Interface (GPIF), and the configuration sequence that ensues using the GPIFTool utility, page 3; If the data control chip is in the idle status, setting the data control chip, wherein the setting step further comprises:
Setting a data access mode of the data control chip (The device via the interface is checked to see its idle status, page 3, paragraph 8);
Determining a data access situation of the debug card and performing counting (Peripheral FIFO write example of page 4 illustrates said function, which comprises counting);

Setting an amount of data to be accessed each time (Setting peripheral single write register, page 4); and

Ending the idle status (Routine 1 on page 8 illustrates ending the idle status, page 8); and If the data control chip is not in the idle status, accessing to the PCI bus data stored in the debug card according to the settings of the data control chip (Read transaction as illustrated in routine 2, page 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the interface of Cypress in the system of Wu as Cypress provides a general purpose interface that can be used in many protocols, page 1, paragraph 1. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the interface of Cypress in the system of Wu as Cypress provides a general purpose interface that can be used in many protocols, page 1, paragraph 1.

Cypress teaches wherein the determination of the data access situation of the debug card enables to evaluate whether the buffer of the debug card is full, which establishes a basis for calculating an accumulation of the data which means the amount of data accessed each time being accumulated into a data amount total, setting the amount of data determines a number of data packets to access it at a next non-idle status, and once the idle status ends, the control chip turns to the non-idle status to perform data accessing (Peripheral FIFO Write routine on page 4 outlines elements of said transaction, page 4).

5. As to claim 7, Wu discloses the method, wherein if the data control chip is not in an idle status, accessing to the PCI bus data according to the settings of the data control chip means accessing to the PCI bus data according to a control code stored in a register of the data control chip (Figure 2 illustrates the interaction with controller and said elements driven by control code, COL.2, lines 30 – 47).

6. As to claim 14, Cypress discloses the method wherein the data control chip is a chip of a model EZ-USBFX2 (Figure 2 illustrates control chip with said interface, page 3).

7. Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Cypress and in further view of Tsai et al (US6751754) hereinafter Tsai.

8. As to claim 6, Wu as modified by Cypress does not explicitly disclose the method, wherein ending the idle status further comprising preparing to perform a next data access.

However, Tsai discloses the method, wherein ending the idle status further comprising preparing to perform a next data access (Figure 2 illustrates the address 2 progression, COL. 2, lines 60 – 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the single step process of Tsai in the system of Wu as it allows real time inspection of the bus progress by elongating the cycle, COL. 1, lines 57 – 67. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

motivated to use the single step process of Tsai in the system of Wu as it allows real time inspection of the bus progress by elongating the cycle, COL. 1, lines 57 – 67).

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. The applicant failed to point out where prior art failed to meet claim limitations, thus examiner has maintained prior rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS non-final.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this non-final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this non-final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Daley whose telephone number is 571 272 3625. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am. - 4p m.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Daley
Examiner
Technology Center 2100
9/25/07

CD



MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100